EÒËZŠÒÖÄÄFEFFÎ FFCE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIA CARLOTA MASSELLA,	Case No. 5:12-CV-02977-PSG UT Y	
Plaintiff, v. FRANK G. BLUNDO, JR., A	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation; FRANK GEORGE BLUNDO, JR., individually and in his official capacity; and PACIFIC CREDIT EXCHANGE, a California corporation,		
Defendants.		
Counsel report that they have met and stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and AD	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following DR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the followin	g ADR process:	
Court Processes:		
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation	 Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) 	
more likely to meet their needs that any ot	ement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably ther form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone ey must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone DR L.R. 3-5.)	
Private Process:		
Private ADR (please ide	entify process and provider)	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:		
1 1	Example the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadline		

Dated: August 13, 2012	/s/ Fred W. Schwinn Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: <u>August 13, 2012</u>	/s/ Frank G. Blundo, Jr. Attorney for Defendants
[] ORDER	
Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captions Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE Mediation Private ADR	•
Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this or other	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
U	Konald M. Whyte NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE